Teacher's Feedback on Curriculum Analysis And Action Taken Report

(Academic Session 2018-19)
Submitted by: Curricular Committee
Date: 12-04-2019

The committee has following members

Dr. C.H.Sawarkar Dr. Anna Vaidya Dr. DattaRatnaparkhi Dr. D.C.Nikalaje

Preamble:

Curricular Committee: 2018-19

The feedback for the college is taken by the teachers for every academic session. The parameters include opinion regarding aims and objectives of the syllabi, the depth and adequateness of the course content for significant learning outcomes, availability of sufficient prescribed books, reference material and related books, appropriateness of pre-requisite courses, attainment of students POs, COs and PSOs, scope for adopting new techniques of teaching, balance between theory and Laboratory-work, etc.

Importance:

The college views its teachers as a valuable stakeholder in the teaching learning process. Teacher's feedback offer important perspectives for evaluating academics and student services. Teacher's feedback occupies a prominent position in the strategic development policies. It also helps to improve the accountability of the system.

Process:

The teachers are supplied with a pre-printed MCQ style questionnaire to record their opinion. The recorded choices are then processed to obtain the feedback Analysis Report.

Submission:

The Feedback Analysis Report is discussed in staff council meeting and forwarded to IQAC for suggestions if any. Finally it is submitted to the College Development Committee (CDC) for its approval.

Action Taken:

Proper suggestions were consolidated to communicate to the Principal and the College Development Committee (CDC) to ensure the proper redressal of the suggestions.

Policy for Feedback from Teachers

A questionnaire has been designed to seek feedback from teachers to collect their opinion regarding the quality of academic environment of the college. For each item the teacher indicates his / her level of agreement by selecting appropriate option.

Scale

Choice (A)	80 % To 100 %	Grade A: Excellent
Choice (B)	60 % To 79.99%	Grade B: Good
Choice (C)	40 % To 59.99 %	Grade C: Average
Choice (D)	20 % To 39.99 %	Grade D:fair
Choice (E)	00 % To 19.99 %	Grade E: Poor

Questionnaire

Curricular Committee: 2018-19

Smt. NarasammaHirayya Education Trust, Amravati's Arts, Commerce and Science College, Kirannagar, Amravati

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM


Name o	ic year: Faculty:		Depart	ment: _		
	f the Teacher:					
-	estionnaire is intended to collect information relating to yo					_
_	and evaluation. The information provided by you will be k for quality improvement of the program of studies/institution.	-	entiai an	a will be	e used as im	iportant
eeubac	k for quality improvement of the program of studies/instit	ution.				
Direction	ns: A score for each item					
Betwe	en 1 and 5 Strongly Agree (5) Agree (4) Not Su	ıre (3) [Disagree	(2)	Strongly Dis	agree (1)
Please ir	ndicate your level of satisfaction with the following statem	ent by choo	sing betw	veen 1 a	nd 5	
Sr. No.	Curriculum, Teaching, Learning and Evaluation:	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and	_				
	clear to teachers and students.					
2	Syllabus is contemporary and need based.					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have					
	significant learning outcomes.					
4	Sufficient number of prescribed books on the syllabi are					
	available in the library					
5	Sufficient reference material and related books and					
	other resources are available for the topics mentioned					
	in the syllabus in the library					
6	The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course.					
7	The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs					
,	satisfactorily.					
8	There is an ample scope to adopt new techniques of					
Ü	teaching such as seminar, presentations, group					
	discussions, projects, etc.					
9	The course/syllabus has a good balance between					
	theory and Laboratory-work.					
10	The course/syllabus of this subject has increased my					
	knowledge and perspective in the subject area.					

Analysis of Teachers Feedback on Curriculum (Teacher wise)

Curricular Committee: 2018-19

SNo.	Name of the Teacher	Department	Average	Grade	Performance
1	Dr.A.P.Vaidhya	Marathi	72.22	В	Good
2	Dr.R.A.Raibhog	Economics	47.78	С	Average
3	Dr.T.T.Rathod	History	74.44	В	Good
4	Dr.N.D.Gawande	English	56.67	С	Average
5	Dr.A.U.Bajpeyee	Physics	72.22	В	Good
6	Dr.U.S.Khandekar	Ind. Chemistry	76.67	В	Good
7	Dr.A.K.Maldhure	Chemistry	90.00	Α	Excellent
8	Dr.S.H.Shyamkuwar	Physics	52.22	С	Average
9	Mrs.M.P.Chikhalkar	English	81.11	Α	Excellent
10	Mr.N.M.Jathe	Comp. Science	87.78	Α	Excellent
11	Dr.M.J.Pawar	Chemistry	81.11	Α	Excellent
12	Dr.S.K.Tippat	Env. Sc.	75.67	В	Good
13	Mr.C.H.Sawarkar	Comp. Science	83.33	Α	Excellent
14	Dr.V.A.Meshram	Env. Sc.	76.67	В	Good
15	Dr. D. C. Nikalje	Political Science	72.22	В	Good
16	Dr.P.S.Wankhede	Marathi	72.22	В	Good
17	Dr.D.M.Ratnaparakhi	Botany	78.89	В	Good
18	Dr. V .D. Sharma	Mathematics	67.78	В	Good
19	Mr.S.P.Deshmukh	Ind. Chemistry	61.11	В	Good
20	Dr.S.B.Bansod	Chemistry	83.33	Α	Excellent
21	Dr.P.N.Pawade	Botany	70.00	В	Good
22	Dr.M.D.Tambekar	Sociology	74.44	В	Good
23	Mr.D.B.Suryawanshi	Physics	74.44	В	Good
24	Dr.P.S.Ingale	English	65.56	В	Good
25	Dr. Dinesh N. Satange	Computer Science	90.00	Α	Excellent

Chart for Teachers Feedback on Curriculum (Teacher wise)



Applysis of Teachers Feedback on Curriculum (Parameter wise)

	Parameter	Average	Grade	Performance
PNo.	Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers	80.00	Α	Excellent
1	and students.			
2	Syllabus is contemporary and need based.	71.11	В	Good
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning	70.00	В	Good
4	outcomes. Sufficient number of prescribed books on the syllabi are available in the	71.11	В	Good
-	library	71.11	В	Good
5	Sufficient reference material and related books and other resources are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus in the library	, 2,22	_	
		75.56	В	Good
6	The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course.	71.11	В	Good
8	The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs satisfactorily. There is an ample scope to adopt new techniques of teaching such as seminar, presentations, group discussions, projects, etc.	73.94	В	Good
9	The course/syllabus has a good balance between theory and Laboratory-	75.56	В	Good
10	work. The course/syllabus of this subject has increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	76.67	В	Good

Chart for Teachers Feedback on Curriculum (Parameter wise) ■ Average Teacher's Feedback on Curriculam (Parameter wise) - 2018-19) 82.00 80.00 73.94 78.00 76.00 74.00 72.00 70.00 68.00 66.00 64.00 8 9 10 5 6 7 2 3 4 1

The analysis reveals scope for improvement on all parameters, however the following parameter needs improvements.

P.No	Parameter Description	
2	Syllabus is contemporary and need based.	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	
4	Sufficient number of prescribed books on the syllabi are available in the library	
5	Sufficient reference material and related books and other resources are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus in the library	
7	The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs satisfactorily.	

Curricular Committee

Coordinator

Coordinator

Coordinator

Co-ordinator
ICAC
Action Taken Report - 2018-19
II. A Pris Sammerce & Science College,

(Dr. R.S.Chandanpat)

Principal

Arts, Commerce & Science College Kiran Nagar, Amravati.

Gurricular Committee
Gurricular Committee
Arts, Commerce & Science Col
Kiran Nagar, Amravat

